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First Focus Briefing
Our Vision

- Everyone should have a shot at the American Dream
  - Issue not just poverty and inequality but also opportunity to get ahead
  - More public support for equal opportunity than for equal results
  - People’s fortunes change over the life course
  - But poverty and inequality are also concerns
What We Found

- Growing income inequality, especially at the very top.
- A middle class that is treading water and only because they have two earners
- Little progress in reducing poverty
- Considerable income mobility both over the life course and intergenerationally
- But less mobility than in some other advanced countries; and less at the tails of the distribution
Growing Levels of Inequality

Family Income Growth, by Income Quintile, 1947-2007

Percent Growth

- First quintile: 117.0, 11.2, -5.5
- Second quintile: 97.5, 17.4, -1.5
- Third quintile: 103.1, 25.5, 0.6
- Fourth quintile: 104.3, 35.4, 2.0
- Fifth quintile: 88.3, 65.5, -1.3

- 1947-1973
- 1973-2000
- 2000-2007
Inequality in Wages and Productivity

The earnings of entry-level high school educated men would be twice what they are today if the gains from productivity were equally shared.


a. Wage data are entry-level wages for high school educated men in 1973 and 2007 (in 2007 dollars). Annual wages assume forty hours a week and fifty weeks a year of work.
Family Background Matters

Only 6 percent of those born into a family in the bottom quintile, climb to the top quintile as adults.

On the other hand, 42 percent of those born into a family in the bottom quintile, remain in this quintile as adults.


a. Columns may not add to 100 due to rounding. Family incomes are five-year averages from the Panel Study of Income Dynamics for 1967-1971, when parents were 41-years-old on average, and again in 1995-2002 when their adult children were 39-years-old on average.
## Less Opportunity in the U.S. relative to Other Countries

The prospects of upward mobility for those at the bottom is worse in the United States compared to some other advanced industrialized countries.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


a. Sons were born around 1958, and earnings of both fathers and sons were observed near age forty. Sons’ earnings are generally measured between 1992 and 2002.
Summary of the Findings

Do we live in an opportunity society?

Inequality
- Growing levels of income inequality, especially at the very top

Poverty
- A middle class that is treading water and only because they have two earners
- A substantial decline in poverty for the elderly
- Minimal success in reducing poverty for all other groups

Mobility
- Considerable income mobility both over the life course and between generations for those who start out in the middle
- Low levels of mobility at the tails of the distribution, especially relative to other advanced countries
Public Attitudes

Opportunity:  “Our society should do what is necessary to make sure that everyone has an equal opportunity”

87% Agree  11% Disagree

Inequality:  “Do you, yourself, think of America as divided into haves and have-nots, or don’t you think of America that way?”

62% Yes, divided  35% No

Poverty:  “It is the responsibility of the government to take care of people who can’t take care of themselves.”

63% Agree  33% Disagree

What the Public Thinks

- More support for equality of opportunity than of results
- Willingness to provide assistance depends on perceived reasons for low income;
  - Meritocracy
  - Class-based system
  - Fortune cookie society
- Also depends on ability to identify with the recipient;
- Conditional assistance consistent with these beliefs; public expects people to play by the rules, exercise personal responsibility
What Accounts for Success?

- Expert as well as public opinion diverges sharply on whether poverty is structural or behavioral; it’s some of both.
- Low wages and periods of temporarily high unemployment can constrain opportunity.
- But immediate prerequisites to success include getting a good education, working full-time, and marrying before giving birth.
So What Needs to Be Done?

- We argue for a three-front war
  - Improve educational opportunities
  - Reward and encourage work
  - Strengthen families to reduce the number of children in single parent families

- We offer specific recommendations in each of these three areas
What Guides our Policy Choice

- Consistency with public values
- Personal responsibility; playing by the rules
- Cost-effectiveness
- Targeting on the young and the less advantaged
- Recognition that people don’t always behave in their own self-interest
- Greater simplicity
The Education Agenda: The Early Years

- Home visiting and a high-quality preschool experience for disadvantaged 3s and 4s.
- Better coordination of existing programs and careful monitoring of implementation to insure continued effectiveness.
- Follow up in the early grades; reallocate Title I funds to effective programs and curricular reforms; research-based decision making.
The Education Agenda: K-12

- National not state-based standards
- Better teachers in the classroom
  - Less emphasis on credentials, more on performance and nontraditional routes
  - Incentives to teach in challenging schools
- More experiments with charter schools that emphasize high expectations, basic skills, orderly and respectful behavior in the classroom, longer school hours, attendance
The Education Agenda: Postsecondary Years

- Improvements in preparation are key; but few high school-based programs have succeeded here
- Streamline and target financial aid; make repayment more conditional on income; simplify the application process
- Experiment with vouchers for low-income students attending in-state schools
- Some programs aimed at increasing graduation rates have had modest success but without better preparation, such programs unlikely to have much impact
Supporting and Encouraging Work

- The Evolving Work-Based System
  - tax credits, child Care, Food Stamps, health, housing, employment and training
  - Rapidly growing

- Our proposals
  - More money for child care
  - Radically reformed housing assistance
  - Expanded work-based tax credits
  - Access to health care (as part of overall reform)
  - Suspension of past-due child support and repeal of mandatory sentencing laws
  - New generation of career-oriented work and training programs
  - Continued emphasis on work requirements in most cases
Strengthening Families

- Key to reducing poverty and increasing opportunity
- Bring back the success sequence: graduate, work, marry before having children – *in that order*
- Government’s role is limited; but it can support others such as faith communities, nonprofits, the media involved in social marketing campaigns
What Government Can Do to Strengthen Families

- Reduce teen pregnancies
  - Give states funding for effective programs
- Reduce unplanned pregnancies among singles in their twenties
  - Involve community colleges
  - Encourage longer-acting contraceptive use
  - Expand Medicaid funding for family planning
- Continue some marriage education programs
- Social marketing campaign to bring back success sequence
Two Big Challenges

- Political: Balancing off the needs of the less advantaged with those of the broader middle class given the problems facing the latter:
  - Stagnant incomes; loss of jobs to trade and immigration; rising health care costs; declining value of 401ks; income instability; possible prolonged recession; all in context of rising expectations and an aging and fiscally burdensome population that votes

- Fiscal: Finding the money to pay for any new programs for the less advantaged
Our Solution

- Revise the intergenerational contract
- Reform entitlements, especially for more affluent, and reallocate funds to less advantaged younger families and their children.
- Will increase productivity and earnings, enabling next generation to save more for own retirement
- We illustrate the general strategy with specific measures that would save more than enough to pay for our proposals and still leave room to reduce the deficit
What People Are Saying About Creating an Opportunity Society

- “This book presents a bold and thoughtful vision of how to change American economic and social policy to promote a more productive and less unequal society.” – James J. Heckman, Nobel Laureate

- “Two of America’s shrewdest social policy thinkers have produced a comprehensive and timely look at an increasingly urgent problem: expanding the opportunity for all Americans to get ahead in this turbulent economy.” – Ronald Brownstein, National Journal

- “It’s the best thing of its kind I’ve read for a long time.” – Clive Crook, Financial Times

Visit www.opportunitysociety.org for more information about the book
Order information can be found on this site and on Amazon.com